Having for a long time been an area of research mainly reserved for specialists in international relations and political scientists, the international organizations (IOs) that first emerged in the twentieth century’s pre-World War II decades have also attracted renewed interest of historians for the past several years. This development has its place in a movement of ‘globalization’ within the discipline, evident in both themes and practice. The nation, the region, and the village remain pertinent units for study, but the historian interested in global history approaches them in relation to other spaces, reflecting renewed attention to connections and forms of circulation traditionally neglected in specialized studies. As will be argued below, in their role as observation posts, the IOs and international associations here comprise an especially productive area of research, in effect opening access to work on complexly intermeshing ‘circulatory regimes’.

To undertake such research, a heuristic displacement is necessary. The debates opposing various currents of realism and functionalism or institutionalism in the world of Anglo-American political science and international relations have revolved around the possibility of considering these organizations as full-fledged international actors. The viewpoint represented in this short discussion is different, approaching the organizations as open social spaces through which we can observe exchanges and circulation located at the intersection of, and interacting with, international networks, but also specific.
groups and milieus within different national and local societies. The intersection of these different scenes forms the setting where internationalism was brought into being.

However, studying the mechanics of this internationalism demands a second displacement. Alongside the large plenary meetings, ideal locations for the staging of various national oppositions, it is important to reevaluate the work of the secretariats, commissions, and technical agencies, on the basis of the documents produced by the officials and experts who worked there. Notably, this displacement is tied inherently to the nature of historical work, which is grounded in archival research. Here preferably using single original documents, complemented by official documents published in great numbers by the IOs, offers a double advantage. On the one hand, this original material informs us about the process-driven, often conflict-ridden nature of the formation of an internationalist sphere. On the other hand it allows us (above all in the case of correspondence) to locate the many actors involved in this process, whether in the organizations themselves or on the national stage, and to delineate the nature of the relations formed and unformed within a range of configurations.

Seen through their secretariats and experts’ commissions, the IOs thus emerge as spaces structured by relations between both individuals and groups of actors. But these relations only take on meaning when situated in the shifting geographical, institutional, and historical contexts in which they unfolded. In this respect, the personal files of officials and experts stored in the archives of the International Labour Office and the League of Nations – other organizations do not permit archival access – contain precious documents because they reveal the different contexts in which these individuals developed their skills and the networks to which they belonged. By pursuing this work systemati-
cally, it is possible to establish a solid foundation of prosopographical finding, reconstruct the multiple identities of the officials concerned, and approach the IOs as suitable observation posts from which to observe international circulation and platforms for studying the dynamics of internationalization.

On the other hand the history of the organizations itself holds great potential, especially in respect to their origins; most importantly it allows an exploration of the various earlier networks and international associations to which they owed their existence. A period of international crystallization in the decades preceding and following the Great War here seems pivotal for the institutionalizing of these networks, with the already well-researched domain of social reform being highly instructive regarding the processes and actors involved. At the end of the nineteenth century, in various industrialized countries ‘complex reformist webs’ emerged around high public officials, professors, and both industrial and labour leaders. They formed the basis in 1900 for the foundation of the Association for Labour Legislation, bringing together social reformers and experts in social questions represented in the various national associations. In certain domains such as social security, these experts formed epistemic communities based on shared knowledge – the ground upon which the International Labour Organization (ILO), founded in 1919, would thrive. Cultural and intellectual cooperation would obey the same logic of progressive institutionalization: the European networks of intellectuals produced the International Commission for Intellectual Cooperation in Geneva and the International Institute for Intellectual Cooperation in Paris; after World War II,

\[8\] In this regard see the very interesting data bank produced in Heidelberg: ‘LONSEA – Searching the Globe through the Lenses of the League of Nations’ (<http://www.lonsea.de>); on the data bank see also the article by Christiane Sibille in this issue.


they would be fused and stabilized as an intergovernmental organization, UNESCO.12 In this respect, research has been expanding in the areas of European ‘construction’, refugees, and human rights; many other areas remain basically neglected.

Along networks preexisting the IOs and exercising their influence parallel and in interaction with them, others would be created by them. This was the case with the International Association for Social Security, set up by ILO officials to support its activities in an area deemed essential.13 Hence in their genesis and functioning, the international organizations served as loci of coalescence for networks they helped develop and expand. This is the sense in which they can be considered elements of a ‘global community’, or places where such a community emerged, or again as instruments for gaining a deeper understanding of it.14

Finally, the IOs are extremely stimulating heuristic objects for historians of globalism in that they represent a true laboratory of the accords and tensions at work between the international, national, and local scenes and frames of reference. The actors – officials and experts in these organizations – were in effect recruited from the core of their national societies, with many having been public officials or closely tied to that world. In their new functions, they mobilized national knowledge and models – but this does not necessarily cast doubt on their internationalist values. It would be useful to examine whether there are groups of specific actors from cultures or spaces open to circulation and accustomed to cultural interchange who have been able to play a specific role in the dynamics unfolding between national and international scenes, and to try to describe the contours and characteristics of these dynamics. In the period between the two world wars, this was especially the case for nationals of the former Austro-Hungarian Empire, who through their multicultural tradition and multilingualism appear to have constituted the ideal type of international officials. But smaller states such as Belgium, Switzerland, and Holland were also centers of competence – and saw themselves as ‘locomotives’ of internationalization.15 From a broader perspective we can understand the IOs as sources of powerful legitimation for the weakest or youngest states, particularly those emerging from the rubble of conti-
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12 See also Isabella Löhr, Die Globalisierung geistiger Eigentumsrechte. Neue Strukturen internationaler Zusammenarbeit, 1886–1952, Göttingen 2010, and the ongoing work of both Blaise Wilfert at the Centre d’histoire moderne et contemporaine, ENS, Paris, and Iris Schröder at the Humboldt University, Berlin.
national empires like Czechoslovakia after World War I or colonial empires like India. This ‘national usage’ of the IOs did not contradict the mechanics of internationalization but could even support them.

It seems to me this perspective offers a highly promising direction of research, in which we closely scrutinize the forms of international acculturation taken by national officials involved in the international organizations; and also how they used the resources they acquired to promote solutions within national administrations that were developed on an international level. Another important avenue of research would center on the individual national actors and groups who took up international norms and conventions to realize their rights, thus becoming vectors of internationalization. Approached as interfaces between national-local and international scenes, the IOs can become highly relevant areas of study for an empirical and dynamic global history, especially the history of wars undertaken on a worldwide scale, including the Cold War.
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